Preliminary Amendment
Atty Docket No: 1826-310RI

Page: 15

REMARKS

This Preliminary Amendment is being filed concurrently with the above-listed Reissue Patent Application. The above-presented amendments to the specification implement the specification-related changes that are detailed in a Certificate of Correction entered in the patent for which reissue is sought here (U.S. 6,104,761).

The amendment to independent claim 1 adds a --delay element-limitation previously presented in claim 5 of the issued patent. Other amendments made in claims 3, 5, 7, and 8 maintain consistency with the delay element limitation added in claim 1. Likewise, the amendment to independent claim 16 adds a --delaying-- step previously presented in claim 18, and claim 18 is amended for consistency with this amendment. Claims 11 and 14, each of which depended directly or indirectly from claim 1, have been canceled, and claim 17, which depended from claim 16, has been canceled. Independent claim 23 and claims 24-29 which depended directly or indirectly from claim 23 have also been canceled. A new independent claim 30 has been added, as have new claims 31-37, which depend directly or indirectly from independent claim 30. New claim 30 is substantially equivalent in scope to original claim 15.

All amendments made in this reissue application find a basis in previously allowed claims from the issued patent as well as in the specification. No new matter has been added. Moreover, all amendments result in claims narrower than their counterparts from the issued patent. Thus, this reissue application is a narrowing reissue application.

An Information Disclosure Statement enclosed herewith identifies an article by May et al., entitled: "Reducing the

Preliminary Amendment Atty Docket No: 1826-310RI

Page: 16

Peak-To-Average Power Ratio In OFDM Radio Transmission Systems" along with other references. The May et al. article was published at an IEEE-sponsored Vehicular Technology Conference in Ottawa in May 1998, predating the filing date of the patent. The May et al. article discusses manipulating an OFDM signal by combining it with a correcting function to reduce amplitude peaks. As such, at least the May et al. article was material to the broadest claims of the issued patent. Omitting consideration of at least the May et al. article was an error that applicant seeks to correct through the present reissue application.

But the May et al. article does not discuss or suggest a delay element or delaying step as now recited in claims 1 and 16, nor does the May et al. article discuss or suggest a digital linearizer as now-recited in new claim 30. Accordingly, claims 1, 16, and 30, and the claims that depend thereon, are believed to be allowable.

Applicant believes that the foregoing amendments place the reissue application in a condition for allowance. Prompt consideration and favorable action are respectfully requested. The examiner is respectfully invited to call the below-indicated attorney if the examiner believes that such a call might be helpful to the examination of this application or to the expeditious allowance of claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Lowell W. Gresham

Attorney for Applicants

Req. No. 31,165

Lowell W. Gresham 5727 North Seventh Street Suite 409 Phoenix, AZ 85014 (602) 274-6996